Concertation Report Inter-cloud Challenges, Expectations and Issues



www.cloudwatchhub.eu|info@cloudwatchhub.eu|@cloudwatchhub

1 Identifying topics for the Horizon 2020 ICT Work Programme 2018 – 2020

Chaired by Francisco Medeiros, Deputy Head of Unit E2 Software, Services, Cloud computing

Presentation

Concertation meetings have been key in shaping Work Programmes for the European Commission. The CloudWATCH concertation meeting in September 2014 saw participants recommend themes for the LEIT 2016-2017 Programme. From this, two calls were closed just days before the 2016 Concertation meeting: ICT-06-2016: Cloud Computing, and ICT-10-2016: Software Technologies. Both were heavily subscribed and it is expected that over 20 new projects will be funded and commence later in 2016, including international cooperation projects.

The European Commission has initiated the preparation of the 2018-2020 Work Programme (WP) with a scoping paper to be prepared in summer 2016 with discussions with member states taking place between July and October 2016. Public consultation may occur in Q3 of 2016 while the WP will be drafted between November 2016 to June 2017. The WP is likely be adopted in 4Q 2017.

The four EC themed clusters announced at the 2015 Concertation meeting have a key role in supporting this process by providing white papers which include a set of recommendations for research challenges to be addressed by the 2018-2020 WP. These were presented and are outlined in the following sections.

1.1 Inter-cloud Challenges, Expectations and Issues

Ana Juan Ferrer, ATOS & Cluster Chair

Cluster website | Cluster white paper | Presentation

The simultaneous or serial use of services from diverse heterogeneous clouds is a challenge in order to further develop the Cloud market in Europe. While it presents a series of issues with regards to interoperability among heterogeneous cloud typologies, private and public clouds, services' comparability, portability, migration, networking, and increased uptake of cloud services across Europe. It also offers innovative market opportunities in order to avoid vendor lock-in and for the development of new roles in the cloud market related to hybrid cloud models. Switching providers relies on access to information that allow stakeholders to make comparisons. Lessons from switching energy providers, mobile phone providers, insurance providers, etc. can help provide guidance on such market opportunities.

Despite the achieved advances and commercial uptake, Cloud technologies and models have yet to reach their full potential. Many Cloud capabilities need still to be further developed and researched, so to allow their exploitation into a full degree. All along the Cloud stack (SaaS, PaaS, IaaS) commercial product developments today are based into proprietary solutions that drive to a vendor lock-in situation for the existing adopters. In this context, the realisation of multi-clouds¹ is materialised though internal clouds and interactions between public-private Clouds which is hardly automatised and, in any case, automatic. In addition, security, trust and legal compliance issues still act as barriers for a wider uptake.

Whilst more developed Inter-cloud scenarios, such as Cloud Bursting, Cloud aggregation and Cloud brokerage exist theoretically, real implementations marginally exist and they are tailored for specific cases. To reduce the effort and time associated to the adoption of cloud, developers need to be able to develop an application regardless of where it is released, structuring and building it in a vendor agnostic way so that it is possible to deploy on the provider that best fits the requirements at the moment thus realizing the "develop once deploy everywhere" paradigm. There is a lack of understanding in the market about the financial or procurement aspects of this.

There are a number of motivations for embracing multi-cloud set-ups. From a provider's perspective this includes greater scalability and wider resource availability, and greater cost efficiency and energy savings. From a customer perspective, this includes avoiding vendor lock-in and distribution across geographies for reducing latency, the addressing of legal constraints and enabling high availability.

Today Cloud Computing market is still far from adopting an open and competitive model in which cloud resources act like in conventional markets. Lack of interoperability and adopted standards together with intricate regulatory context, inflexible pricing models and not adequate SLAs are recognised as the main obstacles to Cloud adoption. However, in order to realize a full Multi-Cloud market vision additional aspects need to be developed into Inter-cloud management such as: provisioning, metering and billing, privacy, security, identity management, fine grained QoS and Service Level agreements, consideration of diversity of resources (compute, data and network).

¹ Multi-Cloud is defined as the serial or simultaneous use of services from diverse providers to execute an application [1]. At business level, Hybrid Cloud is the term commonly used, Gartner [3] defines hybrid Cloud as the coordinated use of cloud services across is olation and provider boundaries a mong public, private and community service providers, or between internal and external doud services. A number of scenarios demonstrate these serial or simultaneous interactions among hybrid heterogeneous private and public clouds and across all cloud layers (IaaS/PaaS/SaaS)[4].

The use of standard or agnostic interfaces for cloud services would allow the developers to migrate cloud application among cloud platforms with minimum effort. This alignment need to be achieved at all cloud levels and across different models of clouds (including local/edge clouds).

Automatic porting of existing applications and software systems (in particular legacy systems) from on premise platforms to a cloud platform need to be supported by suitable methodologies and tools to facilitate and speed up the migration.

The cluster has carried out initial work in order to prioritise the identified Research Areas. The analysis has classified Research Areas according to Business Impact and Timeframe for realisation. In addition, priority of the Research Area as a whole has been assessed based on priority of the associated challenges. This process has been performed by a survey completed by cluster participants.

The following tables show the various challenges and priorities identified by the cluster members for intercloud to become a reality. Each area and challenge is ranked in order of priority. In addition to the analysis of priorities among Research Areas, it is important to remark that all proposed Research Areas have its roots in already developed and on-going research work as the table shows. Considering these is significant in order to allow future convergence of research results, research programmes' cohesion, as well as, overall resources optimisations.

Further details on each challenge and priority can be found in the cluster white paper².

² <u>https://eucloudclusters.files.wordpress.com/2015/05/inter-cloud-pp_dec-2015.pdf</u>

Priority	Inter-cloud	Associated future research challenges	Projects working /
level	research areas		that have worked in
High	Service	Automatic discovery and composition of services	ENTICE. CYCLONE.
	Discovery and	Automatic API Alignment and Software -defined everything	mOSAIC. SWITCH
	Composition		
High	Dynamic	Multi-Cloud improved a pplication assembly and a utomation	CLOUDLIGHTNING,
	Configuration,	Self-* across a diversity of cloud deployments	ModaClouds,
	Provisioning,	. Cloud Brokers pecialization for addressing specific vertical sector needs	mOSAIC, PaaSage,
	and	Novel decentralized Inter-cloud computing continuum	OPTIMIS, SeaClouds
	Orchestration of	Novel Orchestration and placement methods for hyper distributed cloud	
	Cloud Resources		
High	SLAs & QoS	Intelligent Broker	ASCETIC, mOSAIC,
		SLA Standard Representation	ModaClouds,
		Monitoring of QoS and a pplication level monitoring	SeaClouds, ,
		SLA-based cloud service/application management	SWITCH, OPTIMIS,
			CLOUDLIGHTNING
High	Interoperability	Switch services among cloud typologies and providers without efforts	CloudSocket,
	and portability	Develop once deploy everywhere	ENTICE,
		Interoperability to cope with Cloud neterogeneity and a pplication mobility	
		On versal semanuc service	mosarc, Paasage,
		Extended Workload Porta hility	
Medium	Network	To guarantee new paths for ontimizing transfer of data among clouds, among IoTs and clouds lots	BEACON CYCLONE
Weurum	Management	Extension to Cloud Federation concent and tools	SSICIOPS SWITCH
		Enablement of responding more fluidly to changes in user demand at inter-cloud level but also at the edge level	
		DevOps Agile development and deployment considering network management	
Medium	Business	Cross-layer and Scalable Multi-Cloud Workflows and BPaaS	CloudSocket,
	Process	Smart business-to-IT alignment	mOSAIC, SWITCH
	Management	Flexible Cost Models	
		Smart Business Intelligence through cross-layer BPaaS Evaluation	
		Cross-layer BPaaS Monitoring & Ada ptation 2 Challenge	
		Cross-layer BPaaS Monitoring & Ada ptation	
		Intelligent Allocation of BPaaS a cross cloud levels	
Medium	Security	Auditability in Cloud Federated Cloud Networks	CloudSocket,
	mechanisms	Security mechanisms for a pplication integrity	BEACON, OPTIMIS,
	a cross clouds	Definition of Security and network-aware application requirements	ENTICE, CYCLONE
		Federated Authentication for non-Browser HTTP Applications	
		Federated Authorization Policies and Use Cases	
Medium	High	Monitor and guarantee inter-doud infrastructure SLAs performance	ASCETIC, BEACON,
	Performance	New languages to express overall high performance including storage, compute, network	CLOUDLIGHTNING,
	Heterogeneous	Dependability and reliability between Cloud providers and consumers	CloudSocket,
		Dynamic workload balancing in multi-cloud context	CYCLONE, ENTICE,

	Cloud	Enable with inter-Cloud Service Provider connectivity	ModaClouds,
	Infrastructures		mOSAIC, PaaSage,
			SeaClouds,
			SSICLOPS, SWITCH
Low	Distribution	Novel High Availability mechanism across hybrid cloud models	CloudSocket,
	a cross locations	Legalaspects	BEACON, ENTICE,
	for reducing	Scalability a cross clouds based on demand	SeaClouds, OPTIMIS
	latency, address	Cross-cloud VM/container image distribution SLAs	
	legal constraints		
	and enable high		
	availability		

1.2